

On certain topics related to Arthur classification of discrete spectrum

Baiying Liu

9/28/2015

Discrete spectrum

- F number field, $\mathbb{A} = \mathbb{A}_F$ the ring of adeles. G quasi-split classical groups. $X_G := Z_G(\mathbb{A})G(F)\backslash G(\mathbb{A})$, finite volume.

Discrete spectrum

- F number field, $\mathbb{A} = \mathbb{A}_F$ the ring of adèles. G quasi-split classical groups. $X_G := Z_G(\mathbb{A})G(F)\backslash G(\mathbb{A})$, finite volume.
- $L^2(X_G)$ is the space of square-integrable functions $\phi : X_G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$.
 $L^2(X_G)$ is a $G(\mathbb{A})$ -module by action: $g \cdot \phi(x) := \phi(xg)$.
 $L^2_d(X_G) = \bigoplus$ irreducible $G(\mathbb{A})$ -submodules in $L^2(X_G)$.

Discrete spectrum

- F number field, $\mathbb{A} = \mathbb{A}_F$ the ring of adèles. G quasi-split classical groups. $X_G := Z_G(\mathbb{A})G(F)\backslash G(\mathbb{A})$, finite volume.
- $L^2(X_G)$ is the space of square-integrable functions $\phi : X_G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$.
 $L^2(X_G)$ is a $G(\mathbb{A})$ -module by action: $g \cdot \phi(x) := \phi(xg)$.
 $L^2_d(X_G) = \oplus$ irreducible $G(\mathbb{A})$ -submodules in $L^2(X_G)$.
- $\phi \in L^2_d(X_G)$ is called cuspidal if all constant terms are zero:

$$\int_{N(F)\backslash N(\mathbb{A})} \phi(n)dn \equiv 0,$$

where N is the unipotent radical of any proper parabolic subgroup of G . $L^2_c(X_G) = \{\text{cuspidal functions}\}$ - cuspidal spectrum.

Discrete spectrum

- F number field, $\mathbb{A} = \mathbb{A}_F$ the ring of adèles. G quasi-split classical groups. $X_G := Z_G(\mathbb{A})G(F)\backslash G(\mathbb{A})$, finite volume.
- $L^2(X_G)$ is the space of square-integrable functions $\phi : X_G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$.
 $L^2(X_G)$ is a $G(\mathbb{A})$ -module by action: $g \cdot \phi(x) := \phi(xg)$.
 $L^2_d(X_G) = \oplus$ irreducible $G(\mathbb{A})$ -submodules in $L^2(X_G)$.
- $\phi \in L^2_d(X_G)$ is called cuspidal if all constant terms are zero:

$$\int_{N(F)\backslash N(\mathbb{A})} \phi(n)dn \equiv 0,$$

where N is the unipotent radical of any proper parabolic subgroup of G . $L^2_c(X_G) = \{\text{cuspidal functions}\}$ - cuspidal spectrum.

- $L^2_d(X_G) = L^2_c(X_G) \oplus L^2_r(X_G)$. $L^2_r(X_G)$ - residual spectrum, consists of residues of Eisenstein series, by the theory of Eisenstein series of Langlands.

Classification of discrete spectrum

- GL_n : Jacquet (1984), Moeglin-Waldspurger (1989).

$$L_d^2(X_{GL_n}) = \{\Delta(\tau, b) \mid \tau \text{ cuspidal representation of } GL_a(\mathbb{A}), n = ab\}.$$

Classification of discrete spectrum

- GL_n : Jacquet (1984), Mœglin-Waldspurger (1989).
 $L_d^2(X_{GL_n}) = \{\Delta(\tau, b) \mid \tau \text{ cuspidal representation of } GL_a(\mathbb{A}), n = ab\}$.
- Quasi-split classical groups: Arthur (Sp_{2n}, SO_n), Mok (U_n).

Theorem (Arthur 2013)

$$L_d^2(X_{Sp_{2n}}) = \bigoplus_{\psi \in \tilde{\Psi}_2(Sp_{2n})} \bigoplus_{\pi \in \tilde{\Pi}_\psi(\varepsilon_\psi)} \pi.$$

$\tilde{\Psi}_2(Sp_{2n})$ the set of (global) Arthur parameters: $\psi = \psi_1 \boxplus \cdots \boxplus \psi_r$.
 $\psi_i = (\tau_i, b_i)$, pairwise different, called simple Arthur parameters, τ_i
cuspidal representation of $GL_{a_i}(\mathbb{A})$, $b_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$, $\sum_{i=1}^r a_i b_i = 2n + 1$.

Classification of discrete spectrum

- GL_n : Jacquet (1984), Mœglin-Waldspurger (1989).
 $L_d^2(X_{GL_n}) = \{\Delta(\tau, b) \mid \tau \text{ cuspidal representation of } GL_a(\mathbb{A}), n = ab\}$.
- Quasi-split classical groups: Arthur (Sp_{2n}, SO_n), Mok (U_n).

Theorem (Arthur 2013)

$$L_d^2(X_{Sp_{2n}}) = \bigoplus_{\psi \in \tilde{\Psi}_2(Sp_{2n})} \bigoplus_{\pi \in \tilde{\Pi}_\psi(\varepsilon_\psi)} \pi.$$

$\tilde{\Psi}_2(Sp_{2n})$ the set of (global) Arthur parameters: $\psi = \psi_1 \boxplus \cdots \boxplus \psi_r$.
 $\psi_i = (\tau_i, b_i)$, pairwise different, called simple Arthur parameters, τ_i cuspidal representation of $GL_{a_i}(\mathbb{A})$, $b_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$, $\sum_{i=1}^r a_i b_i = 2n + 1$.

- Each ψ_i is of orthogonal type ($\widehat{Sp}_{2n} = SO_{2n+1}(\mathbb{C}) \hookrightarrow GL_{2n+1}(\mathbb{C})$):
 - 1 b_i even, τ_i of symplectic type, i.e., $L(s, \tau_i, \wedge^2)$ has a pole at $s = 1$;
 - 2 b_i odd, τ_i of orthogonal type, i.e., $L(s, \tau_i, \text{Sym}^2)$ has a pole at $s = 1$.

Classification of discrete spectrum

- GL_n : Jacquet (1984), Mœglin-Waldspurger (1989).
 $L_d^2(X_{GL_n}) = \{\Delta(\tau, b) \mid \tau \text{ cuspidal representation of } GL_a(\mathbb{A}), n = ab\}$.
- Quasi-split classical groups: Arthur (Sp_{2n}, SO_n), Mok (U_n).

Theorem (Arthur 2013)

$$L_d^2(X_{Sp_{2n}}) = \bigoplus_{\psi \in \tilde{\Psi}_2(Sp_{2n})} \bigoplus_{\pi \in \tilde{\Pi}_\psi(\varepsilon_\psi)} \pi.$$

$\tilde{\Psi}_2(Sp_{2n})$ the set of (global) Arthur parameters: $\psi = \psi_1 \boxplus \cdots \boxplus \psi_r$.
 $\psi_i = (\tau_i, b_i)$, pairwise different, called simple Arthur parameters, τ_i cuspidal representation of $GL_{a_i}(\mathbb{A})$, $b_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$, $\sum_{i=1}^r a_i b_i = 2n + 1$.

- Each ψ_i is of orthogonal type ($\widehat{Sp}_{2n} = SO_{2n+1}(\mathbb{C}) \hookrightarrow GL_{2n+1}(\mathbb{C})$):
 - ① b_i even, τ_i of symplectic type, i.e., $L(s, \tau_i, \wedge^2)$ has a pole at $s = 1$;
 - ② b_i odd, τ_i of orthogonal type, i.e., $L(s, \tau_i, \text{Sym}^2)$ has a pole at $s = 1$.
- $\tilde{\Pi}_\psi(\varepsilon_\psi)$ is endoscopic lifting to the isobaric sum representation $\Delta(\tau_1, b_1) \boxplus \cdots \boxplus \Delta(\tau_r, b_r)$ of $GL_{2n+1}(\mathbb{A})$.

Fourier Coefficients of Automorphic Forms

- GL_n , U_n standard maximal unipotent subgroup, not abelian if $n \geq 3$. Idea of Shalika (1974) and Piatetski-Shapiro (1979): taking Fourier expansion column-by-column, prove that cuspidal automorphic representations of $GL_n(\mathbb{A})$ are generic, i.e., having nonzero Whittaker Fourier coefficients.

Fourier Coefficients of Automorphic Forms

- GL_n , U_n standard maximal unipotent subgroup, not abelian if $n \geq 3$.
Idea of Shalika (1974) and Piatetski-Shapiro (1979): taking Fourier expansion column-by-column, prove that cuspidal automorphic representations of $GL_n(\mathbb{A})$ are generic, i.e., having nonzero Whittaker Fourier coefficients.
- In general, one can define Fourier coefficients from nilpotent orbits.
In this way, one can measure the size of Fourier coefficients:
 $\mathfrak{n}(\pi) = \{\text{all nilpotent orbits providing nonzero FC for } \pi\} \supset \mathfrak{n}^m(\pi)$.

Fourier Coefficients of Automorphic Forms

- GL_n , U_n standard maximal unipotent subgroup, not abelian if $n \geq 3$. Idea of Shalika (1974) and Piatetski-Shapiro (1979): taking Fourier expansion column-by-column, prove that cuspidal automorphic representations of $GL_n(\mathbb{A})$ are generic, i.e., having nonzero Whittaker Fourier coefficients.
- In general, one can define Fourier coefficients from nilpotent orbits. In this way, one can measure the size of Fourier coefficients:
 $\mathfrak{n}(\pi) = \{\text{all nilpotent orbits providing nonzero FC for } \pi\} \supset \mathfrak{n}^m(\pi)$.
- For quasi-split classical groups G ,

nilpotent orbits $\leftrightarrow \{(\text{partitions, quadratic forms})\}$.

$\mathfrak{p}(\pi) = \{\text{all partitions parametrizing orbits in } \mathfrak{n}(\pi)\} \supset \mathfrak{p}^m(\pi)$.

Fourier coefficients of $\pi \in \tilde{\Pi}_\psi(\varepsilon_\psi)$

- Shahidi Conjecture: for generic Arthur parameter $\psi = \boxplus_i(\tau_i, 1)$, $\exists \pi \in \tilde{\Pi}_\psi(\varepsilon_\psi)$, generic, i.e., has nonzero Whittaker Fourier coefficients \leftrightarrow regular orbits \leftrightarrow maximal partition.
Proved for quasi-split classical groups, by Ginzburg-Rallis-Soudry, using the theory of automorphic descent.

Fourier coefficients of $\pi \in \tilde{\Pi}_\psi(\varepsilon_\psi)$

- Shahidi Conjecture: for generic Arthur parameter $\psi = \boxplus_i(\tau_i, 1)$, $\exists \pi \in \tilde{\Pi}_\psi(\varepsilon_\psi)$, generic, i.e., has nonzero Whittaker Fourier coefficients \leftrightarrow regular orbits \leftrightarrow maximal partition.

Proved for quasi-split classical groups, by Ginzburg-Rallis-Soudry, using the theory of automorphic descent.

- Jiang Conjecture (generalization of Shahidi conjecture): for any ψ , there is a partition \underline{p}_ψ (depends on ψ), such that
 - 1 \underline{p}_ψ is an upperbound (dominant order) for $\mathfrak{p}^m(\pi)$, $\forall \pi \in \tilde{\Pi}_\psi(\varepsilon_\psi)$;
 - 2 there exists $\pi \in \tilde{\Pi}_\psi(\varepsilon_\psi)$, such that $\underline{p}_\psi \in \mathfrak{p}^m(\pi)$.

Fourier coefficients of $\pi \in \tilde{\Pi}_\psi(\varepsilon_\psi)$

- Shahidi Conjecture: for generic Arthur parameter $\psi = \boxplus_i(\tau_i, 1)$, $\exists \pi \in \tilde{\Pi}_\psi(\varepsilon_\psi)$, generic, i.e., has nonzero Whittaker Fourier coefficients \leftrightarrow regular orbits \leftrightarrow maximal partition.

Proved for quasi-split classical groups, by Ginzburg-Rallis-Soudry, using the theory of automorphic descent.

- Jiang Conjecture (generalization of Shahidi conjecture): for any ψ , there is a partition $\underline{\rho}_\psi$ (depends on ψ), such that

- 1 $\underline{\rho}_\psi$ is an upperbound (dominant order) for $\mathfrak{p}^m(\pi)$, $\forall \pi \in \tilde{\Pi}_\psi(\varepsilon_\psi)$;
- 2 there exists $\pi \in \tilde{\Pi}_\psi(\varepsilon_\psi)$, such that $\underline{\rho}_\psi \in \mathfrak{p}^m(\pi)$.

- Known result for Sp_{2n} (Jiang-L):

- 1 $\underline{\rho}_\psi$ is an upperbound (dictionary order) for $\mathfrak{p}^m(\pi)$, $\forall \pi \in \tilde{\Pi}_\psi(\varepsilon_\psi)$;
- 2 for $\psi = \boxplus_i(\tau_i, 1) \boxplus (\tau, b)$, $b > 1$, there exists $\pi \in \tilde{\Pi}_\psi(\varepsilon_\psi)$, such that $\underline{\rho}_\psi \in \mathfrak{p}^m(\pi)$.

Explicit construction of $\pi \in \tilde{\Pi}_\psi(\varepsilon_\psi)$

- Construction of residual representations, G quasi-split classical groups:
 - 1 Moeglin (2008, 2011), makes local/global conjectures towards existence of residual representations in $\tilde{\Pi}_\psi(\varepsilon_\psi)$.
 - 2 Jiang-L-Zhang (2013): calculate all possible poles on the right half plane of the Eisenstein series define from $\text{Ind}_{P(\mathbb{A})}^{G(\mathbb{A})} \Delta(\tau, b) |\cdot|^s \otimes \sigma$, obtain residual representations in certain $\tilde{\Pi}_\psi(\varepsilon_\psi)$.

Explicit construction of $\pi \in \tilde{\Pi}_\psi(\varepsilon_\psi)$

- Construction of residual representations, G quasi-split classical groups:
 - ① Mœglin (2008, 2011), makes local/global conjectures towards existence of residual representations in $\tilde{\Pi}_\psi(\varepsilon_\psi)$.
 - ② Jiang-L-Zhang (2013): calculate all possible poles on the right half plane of the Eisenstein series define from $\text{Ind}_{P(\mathbb{A})}^{G(\mathbb{A})} \Delta(\tau, b) |\cdot|^s \otimes \sigma$, obtain residual representations in certain $\tilde{\Pi}_\psi(\varepsilon_\psi)$.
- Construction of cuspidal representations:
 - ① Piatetski-Shapiro (1983), Soudry (1988), construct all cuspidal representations in non-generic packets of $GSp_4(\mathbb{A})$, using the theory of theta correspondence.
 - ② Jiang-Zhang (2015), construct cuspidal representations in generic packets for SO_n, U_n , using Bessel Fourier coefficients.

Explicit construction of $\pi \in \tilde{\Pi}_\psi(\varepsilon_\psi)$

- Construction of residual representations, G quasi-split classical groups:
 - ① Mœglin (2008, 2011), makes local/global conjectures towards existence of residual representations in $\tilde{\Pi}_\psi(\varepsilon_\psi)$.
 - ② Jiang-L-Zhang (2013): calculate all possible poles on the right half plane of the Eisenstein series define from $\text{Ind}_{P(\mathbb{A})}^{G(\mathbb{A})} \Delta(\tau, b) |\cdot|^s \otimes \sigma$, obtain residual representations in certain $\tilde{\Pi}_\psi(\varepsilon_\psi)$.
- Construction of cuspidal representations:
 - ① Piatetski-Shapiro (1983), Soudry (1988), construct all cuspidal representations in non-generic packets of $GSp_4(\mathbb{A})$, using the theory of theta correspondence.
 - ② Jiang-Zhang (2015), construct cuspidal representations in generic packets for SO_n, U_n , using Bessel Fourier coefficients.
- Jiang (2014) proposes a general framework which may give explicit construction of $\pi \in \tilde{\Pi}_\psi(\varepsilon_\psi)$.

Relations between $\widetilde{\Pi}_\psi(\varepsilon_\psi)$ of different groups

- Automorphic descent:
representations of $Sp_{2n}(\mathbb{A}) \rightarrow$ representations of $\widetilde{Sp}_{2k}(\mathbb{A})$,
representations of $SO_{2n+1}(\mathbb{A}) \rightarrow$ representations of $SO_{2k}(\mathbb{A})$, etc.

Relations between $\widetilde{\Pi}_\psi(\varepsilon_\psi)$ of different groups

- Automorphic descent:
 representations of $Sp_{2n}(\mathbb{A}) \rightarrow$ representations of $\widetilde{Sp}_{2k}(\mathbb{A})$,
 representations of $SO_{2n+1}(\mathbb{A}) \rightarrow$ representations of $SO_{2k}(\mathbb{A})$, etc.
- Ginzburg-Jiang-Soudry (2012):

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 Sp_{4n}(\mathbb{A}) & & \mathcal{N}_{4n} & \dot{\cup} & \mathcal{N}'_{4n} & & (\tau, 2) \boxplus (1, 1) \\
 & & & \downarrow & \mathcal{D}_{2n, \psi^{-1}}^{4n} & & \\
 \widetilde{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{A}) & & \widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_{2n} & \dot{\cup} & \widetilde{\mathcal{N}}'_{2n} & & (\tau, 1)
 \end{array}$$

τ cuspidal representation of $GL_{2n}(\mathbb{A})$ of symplectic type, $L(\frac{1}{2}, \tau) \neq 0$.

Relations between $\tilde{\Pi}_\psi(\varepsilon_\psi)$ of different groups, continue

- L (2013): extend to higher rank cases:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \vdots & & \vdots \\
 & & \downarrow \mathcal{D}_{2n,\psi}^{4mn} \\
 \widetilde{\mathrm{Sp}}_{4mn+2n}(\mathbb{A}) & & \tilde{\mathcal{N}}_{4mn+2n} \dot{\cup} \tilde{\mathcal{N}}'_{4mn+2n} \\
 & & \downarrow \mathcal{D}_{2n,\psi^1}^{4mn+2n} \\
 \mathrm{Sp}_{4mn}(\mathbb{A}) & & \mathcal{N}_{4mn} \dot{\cup} \mathcal{N}'_{4mn} \\
 & & \downarrow \mathcal{D}_{2n,\psi^{-1}}^{4mn} \\
 \widetilde{\mathrm{Sp}}_{4mn-2n}(\mathbb{A}) & \tilde{\mathcal{N}}_{4mn-2n} \dot{\cup} \tilde{\mathcal{N}}'_{4mn-2n} & \\
 & \downarrow \mathcal{D}_{2n,\psi^1}^{4mn-2n} & \\
 \vdots & \vdots &
 \end{array}$$

Non-cuspidality of $\pi \in \tilde{\Pi}_\psi(\varepsilon_\psi)$

- ① Piatetski-Shapiro (1983), Soudry (1988), there exists ψ for GSp_4 such that there are no cuspidal representations in $\tilde{\Pi}_\psi(\varepsilon_\psi)$.
- ② Paniagua-Taboada (2011), split SO_{4n} , $\psi = (\tau, 2n)$, τ cuspidal representation of $GL_2(\mathbb{A})$ of symplectic type, there are no cuspidal representations in $\tilde{\Pi}_\psi(\varepsilon_\psi)$ (can be reproved using FC of automorphic forms).

Non-cuspidality of $\pi \in \tilde{\Pi}_\psi(\varepsilon_\psi)$

- ① Piatetski-Shapiro (1983), Soudry (1988), there exists ψ for GSp_4 such that there are no cuspidal representations in $\tilde{\Pi}_\psi(\varepsilon_\psi)$.
- ② Paniagua-Taboada (2011), split SO_{4n} , $\psi = (\tau, 2n)$, τ cuspidal representation of $GL_2(\mathbb{A})$ of symplectic type, there are no cuspidal representations in $\tilde{\Pi}_\psi(\varepsilon_\psi)$ (can be reproved using FC of automorphic forms).
- Jiang-L (2015), if F is totally imaginary, then \exists many ψ for symplectic groups, such that there are no cuspidal representations in $\tilde{\Pi}_\psi(\varepsilon_\psi)$. Orthogonal analogues are expected.

Non-cuspidality of $\pi \in \tilde{\Pi}_\psi(\varepsilon_\psi)$

- ① Piatetski-Shapiro (1983), Soudry (1988), there exists ψ for GSp_4 such that there are no cuspidal representations in $\tilde{\Pi}_\psi(\varepsilon_\psi)$.
- ② Paniagua-Taboada (2011), split SO_{4n} , $\psi = (\tau, 2n)$, τ cuspidal representation of $GL_2(\mathbb{A})$ of symplectic type, there are no cuspidal representations in $\tilde{\Pi}_\psi(\varepsilon_\psi)$ (can be reproved using FC of automorphic forms).
- Jiang-L (2015), if F is totally imaginary, then \exists many ψ for symplectic groups, such that there are no cuspidal representations in $\tilde{\Pi}_\psi(\varepsilon_\psi)$. Orthogonal analogues are expected.
- Examples: $F = \mathbb{Q}(i)$, $\psi = (1, b_1) \boxplus (\tau, b_2)$ for $Sp_{b_1+2b_2-1}$, τ cuspidal representation of $GL_2(\mathbb{A})$ of symplectic type, b_1 odd, b_2 even. Then $\tilde{\Pi}_\psi(\varepsilon_\psi)$ may contain cuspidal representation only when

$$(b_1, b_2) = (1, 2), (3, 2), (5, 2), \text{ or } (1, 4).$$

- Thank you!